

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/833,651	04/13/2001	Yasuhiro Nakai	1275-44	1740	
7590 10/24/2003 NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.			EXAMINER		
			PILLAI, NAMITHA		
1100 North Glebe Rd., 8th Floor Arlington, VA 22201-4714			ART UNIT PAPE		
,			2173		

DATE MAILED: 10/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

•								
·		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	7			
Office Action Summary		09/833,65	1	NAKAI ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Namitha P		2173				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is i	non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims								
· · _	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application	ation						
٠,١	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[7]	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 April 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* (	<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachmen	•	• • •						
1) Notice 2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N			ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s I Patent Application (PTC				

Application/Control Number: 09/833,651

Art Unit: 2173

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1-3 and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U.
- S. Patent No. 5,546,527 (Fitzpatrick et al.), herein referred to as Fitzpatrick.

Referring to claim 1, Fitzpatrick discloses a print control operation system using icons including a display picture for displaying a print icon having predetermined print conditions and a file icon of a file to be printed, print processing of the file being executed under the predetermined print conditions in the print icon by dragging the file icon and dropping the file icon on the print icon (Figure 4 and column 1, lines 21-30). Fitzpatrick also discloses the predetermined print conditions in the print icon being displayed on the display picture in a recognizable display form (Figure 4 and column 2, lines 11-13).

Referring to claim 2, Fitzpatrick discloses that at a time point when a file icon of a file to be printed is superposed on the print icon, an outline of the print conditions preset in the print icon are displayed on the display picture (Figure 4 and column 2, lines 32-37).

Referring to claim 3, Fitzpatrick discloses at a time point a file icon of a file to be printed is superposed on the print icon, a printing preview of the file icon is displayed on the display picture, wherein the print parameters which apply to the file icon for printing inherently teaches a preview means for the previewing of the file icon (Figure 4).

Application/Control Number: 09/833,651

Art Unit: 2173

laine 5. Eitematuiale disalagas velson a filo ison af a filo to be muistad is

Page 3

Referring to claim 5, Fitzpatrick discloses when a file icon of a file to be printed is dragged and dropped on the print icon, a window for setting print conditions of the print icon is opened (column 2, lines 47-50 and Figure 4).

Referring to claim 6, Fitzpatrick discloses when a file is dragged and dropped on the print icon, a printer capable of conducting print processing is automatically selected based on print conditions set in the print icon, wherein once the file icon is dropped onto a print icon, from within the parameters disclosed, the specific printer is determined (column 4, lines 1-4).

Referring to claim 7, Fitzpatrick discloses when a file icon is dragged and dropped on the print icon, a printer capable of conducting a print processing is automatically selected from among printers in a stand-by state, based on print conditions set is the print icon (column 3, lines 58-60 and column 4, lines 1-4).

Referring to claim 8, Fitzpatrick discloses a printer to be used is set in the print icon as one of set conditions of the print icon (column 4, lines 1-4). Fitzpatrick discloses monitoring the target print icons to determine if the state of the printer is monitored such that the printer cannot execute processing set in the print icon, the print icon is controlled so as not to display (column 6, lines 8-19).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/833,651

. Art Unit: 2173

2. Claims 4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fitzpatrick and U. S. Patent No. 5,638,505 (Hemenway et al.).

Referring to claim 4, Fitzpatrick does not explicitly imply a plurality of file icons of files to be printed being dragged and dropped on the printer icon. Hemenway much like Fitzpatrick, allows for a drag and drop means for dragging file documents and dropping them onto print icons for printing to occur, wherein Hemenway goes further to display to teach that multiple print jobs are applicable to the one print icon (Figure 3C and column 1, lines 7-13). It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art, at the time of the invention to learn from Hemenway to implement a means for a plurality of file icons of files to be printed by dragging and dropping the files onto the print icon, thee files being consecutively printed as a series of recorded matters. It is necessary to have a method for printing various documents, which is necessary for users of any computer system. Thus, as Hemenway teaches, the ability to drag and drop a plurality of documents on to the print source or icon allows for the print jobs to be queued to be processed consecutively, thus allowing for various documents to be printed at the same time.

Referring to claim 9, Fitzpatrick discloses a print control operation system using icons including a display picture for displaying a print icon having predetermined print conditions and a file icon of a file to be printed, print processing of the file being executed under the predetermined print conditions in the print icon by dragging the file icon and dropping the file icon on the print icon (Figure 4 and column 1, lines 21-30). Fitzpatrick discloses creating a print icon having new print conditions set therein for the print icon (column 2, lines 32-37). Fitzpatrick does not clearly disclose there being a plurality of print icons. It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art, at the time of the invention to learn to implement a means for a

Application/Control Number: 09/833,651

Art Unit: 2173

plurality of icons of files to represent various print conditions. It is necessary to have a method for printing various documents, to various printers, the various printers represented by the print icons, which is necessary for users of any computer system to have access to more printing abilities. This allows for many more print jobs to be accomplished at a faster pace, hence it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to have a plurality of icons representing various print conditions, wherein various documents can be printed based on the many print conditions options given.

#### Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record on form PTO-892 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111(c) to consider these references fully when responding to this action. The documents cited therein teach the method for printing using icons.

Responses to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington D.C. 20231. If applicant desires to fax a response, central FAX number (703) 872-9306 may be used. NOTE: A Request for Continuation (Rule 60 or 62) cannot be faxed.

Please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT" for informal facsimile communications. For after final responses, please label "AFTER FINAL" or "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE" on the document. Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Namitha Pillai whose telephone number is (703) 305-7691. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca can be reached on (703) 308-3116.

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

Namitha Pillai Assistant Examiner Art Unit 2173 October 20, 2003

> JOHN CABECA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEP TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100